Ford F-250

Bi-Fuel Propane Pickup

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is promoting the use of alternative fuels and alternative fuel vehicles (AFVs). To support this activity, DOE has directed the National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) to conduct projects to evaluate the performance and acceptability of light-duty AFVs. We tested a 1999 F-250 bi-fuel propane pickup. The vehicle was run through a series of tests while operating on LPG and gasoline. The tests are explained briefly below. Detailed descriptions are given on the vehicle evaluation Web site at http://www.ott.doe.gov/otu/field_ops/nve

Acceleration: Three tests performed: (1) elapsed time from a standstill to 60 mph at wide open throttle, loaded and unloaded; (2) elapsed time from 40 to 60 mph at wide open throttle (passing simulation); (3) elapsed time and maximum speed at a quarter mile. Values are the average of six measurements.

Braking: Dry surface is concrete, wet surface is low friction jennite pad. Minimum stopping distance from 62 mph (100 km/h) on dry surface, and from 31 mph (50 km/h) on wet surface with no wheels locked. Panic stops are minimum measured distance from 31 mph (50 km/h) on wet and dry surfaces at maximum pedal pressure with no attempt to steer. Values are average of six stops.

Fuel Economy: City fuel economy determined using an urban driving cycle—a distance of 2 miles with 8 stops. Highway fuel economy used a 70 mph average driving cycle with no stops. The 150 mile trip alternated between urban and highway cycles until 150 miles were reached. Results are reported in 70% highway driving for total trip.

Cold Start: Vehicle placed in a temperature-controlled room at -20°F for first test (minimum soak time 12 hr*). Crank time and idle rating recorded. If start successful, procedure repeated at -20°F for confirmation. If start unsuccessful, procedure repeated at higher temperature until minimum temperature is determined.

Driveability and Handling: Four different drivers rated each aspect of the vehicles; final rating is average of the four.

Emissions: Duplicate tests performed on each vehicle using EPA's Federal Test Procedure. The bi-fuel pickup was tested on both LPG and RF-A (industry average gasoline).

*Soak time allows the vehicle to stabilize at a given temperature.



In 1998, Ford Motor Company introduced its first propane*/gasoline-powered bi-fuel F-series pickup with a 5.4L engine (previous propane models featured the 4.9L engine). A bi-fuel vehicle has two separate fuel systems that enable the engine to operate on either propane or gasoline, but not at the same time.

For this project, we tested the improved 1999 model year, an F-250 with a 5.4L V8 Triton bi-fuel propane/gasoline engine. The propane tank, which holds 26.8 gallons of propane, is installed in the bed of the pickup. The gasoline tank holds 30 gallons, for a combined total of nearly 57 gallons of fuel. Based on the fuel economy tests we performed, this results in a range of 225–300 miles on propane plus 340–490 miles on gasoline. The engine in this vehicle is designed to start on propane. When the propane level in the tank falls below a set limit, the engine automatically switches to gasoline. Improvements for the 1999 model year include modifications for better fuel flow, which increases engine power. Emissions certification levels were also improved, from the low-emission vehicle (LEV) standard in 1998 to the ultra low-emission vehicle (ULEV) standard for 1999. The F-series truck comes in a variety of options to meet individual fleet needs, such as 2- or 4-wheel drive, and regular or SuperCab.

*Or liquefied petroleum gas (LPG).

General Description

Engine: Displacement 5.4 liter Configuration V8 Transmission 4-speed automatic overdrive Fuel System Seguential electronic fuel injection **Engine Family Code** XFMXA05.4JGC Compression Ratio 9.0:1 Capacities: Fuel 26.8 gal LPG/30 gal gasoline **Passengers** 3 front/2 rear Cargo (cu ft) 46.7 **Dimensions:** 224.2 in. Length Width 65.2 in. **GVWR*** 6800 lb

Other Options:

Rear wheel drive, 4X2, SuperCab truck equipped with air conditioning, power steering, power brakes, tilt wheel, antilock brake system (ABS), and cruise control. This vehicle was also equipped with front and rear disk brakes.

^{*}gross vehicle weight rating

Performance

	Bi-Fuel	
	LPG	Gasoline
Acceleration		
0 to 60 mph loaded (sec)	12.09	11.81
0 to 60 mph unloaded (sec)	9.96	9.31
40 to 60 mph (sec)	5.06	4.99
1/4 mile time (sec)	17.62	17.11
1/4 mile speed (mph)	78.82	81.02
Fuel Economy (mpg)		
City	8.4	11.4
Highway	11.8	16.3
Combined City/Highway	10.7	14.9

Braking	Bi-Fuel			
	LP	G	Gaso	line
Effectiveness stops:	meters	feet	meters	feet
62 mph (100 kph) dry pavement	52.6	172.5	52.5	172.2
31 mph (50 kph) wet jennite	32.0	104.8	31.2	102.2
Panic stop				
31 mph (50 kph) dry pavement	14.7	48.3	14.2	46.7
31 mph (50 kph) wet jennite	32.8	107.7	32.0	104.8

	Bi-Fuel				
	LPG		Gas	Gasoline	
Cold Start	crank	idle	crank	idle	
Temperature °F	time	rating	time	rating	
-20	Did not start*		4.5	7	
+20	4	7	_	_	
Idle ratings from 1 to 9, 1 being lowest rating					

*Vehicle default to gasoline below +20 degrees F

-ULE

	Bi-Fuel		
	LPG	Gasoline	
Routine handling			
Emergency handling			
Acceleration	•		
Braking	*	*	
Ride; fully loaded			
Ride; lightly loaded			
Noise			
Driving position			
Front seat comfort	*	*	
Rear seat comfort			
Climate control	*	*	
Access			
Controls & displays	*	*	
Cargo Area			
★= Excellent ■= Good	● = Fair	□= Very Poor	

Emissions ■Bi-LPG ■ Bi-Gasoline

Regulated Exhaust Emissions (g/mi)

CO₂ Emissions (g/mi) 723.65 700 2.5 -600 658.35 500 400 1.5 -1.04 300 200 0.53 0.26 100 0.04 0.09 0.10 CO_2 **NMHC** CO $N0_{x}$





Evaluation Summary

Evaluation results for the bi-fuel F-250 pickup show very little difference in braking, driveability, or handling when tested on either gasoline or LPG. Fuel economy for the F-250 operating on LPG was 26% to 28% less than that measured when operating on gasoline. This is expected because LPG has about 27% less energy per gallon than gasoline. Acceleration tests showed slightly lower results when the pickup was operating on LPG. Differences ranged between 1.5% and 7% quicker for the gasoline acceleration tests. Evaluators for the driveability and handling test gave good overall marks to the F-250 pickup. Ratings were similar when tested on either fuel, with one exception. Although the acceleration times were close, evaluators noticed slower acceleration when operating on LPG. During the cold start tests, the F-250 started at -20°F on gasoline. According to the Owners Guide Supplement for the LPG F-250, the system is designed to start on gasoline if the ambient temperature falls below 20°F. To confirm this, we tested the bi-fuel at -20° F with the LPG tank full, and the gasoline tank empty. As expected, the engine attempted to start on gasoline, but was unsuccessful. A subsequent test at 20°F on LPG proved successful. Emissions results for the F-250 were below the ULEV certification standard for both fuels. Non-methane hydrocarbon and carbon dioxide emissions were lower for the LPG tests; carbon monoxide and oxides of nitrogen emissions were higher. The biggest emissions benefit of LPG shows up in the results for total potency weighted toxics (including benzene, 1,3-butadiene, formaldehyde, and acetaldehyde)*. Potency weighted toxics emissions for the LPG test were 98% lower than those from the gasoline test.